PBMA CoP IV
Day 4 – November 17, 2005

Questions and Answers:

Morning Discussions
1. [General comment] Define a real set of requirements, especially better definition of the terms.

2. [General comment] System still needs to be managed.

3. (Q) What is PBMA? Put the info on the homepage.

4. [General comment] Make PBMA move more towards "process" – to match the name of PBMA.

5. (Q) "What's in it for me?", how to answer to this question. The list of work groups looks cryptic. It would be nice to have a self-guided search tool or a demo site of a typical work group.

6. [General comment] Want to encourage work group originators to fill out the group description section with more/better detail.

7. [General comment] Not really clear which work groups are open and encouraging participation.

8. [General comment] Multiple mappings of the work group listings.

9. [General comment] As a new user, it's not a comfort-zone to try to figure out which work groups are good.

10. (Q) Who's the target audience for PBMA?

11. [General comment] Like "CoP" versus "PBMA" – it's a better marketing argument.

12. [General comment] Connotation that PBMA is a SMA tool, but is instead something else.

13. [General comment] The CoPs need to be facilitated.

14. [General comment] km.nasa.gov has the strategic plan for KM.

15. [General comment] Need more sharing of historical technical data.